

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,420	LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Trimmings	2138	

**All Participants:**

(1) John P. Trimmings.

(2) Frank Berstein.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 April 2006

Time: 4:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-82

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-27 and 28-82 are drawn to different species of class 714, and are distinct and separate inventions. The examiner and the applicant's attorney, Mr. Bernstein discussed a restriction in the case. An election by the applicant was made in a return call to the examiner at 11:00pm, where claims 1-27 were elected. The examiner will prosecute the case accordingly..



John P Trimmings  
Examiner